UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,066	04/21/2004	Frank Gong	1741 / SYMBP192US	5955
83488 7590 03/09/2009 Motorola- Amin, Turocy & Calvin, LLP		EXAMINER		
127 Public Square, 57th Floor, Key Tower Cleveland, OH 44114			AU, GARY	
Cleverand, Off 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/829,066	GONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gary Au	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/14	/2008				
	action is non-final.				
<i>;</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-14 and 16-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-14 and 16-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:					

Application/Control Number: 10/829,066 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-5, 7-14 and 16-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 11, 12, 14, 16-18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,115,616 Halperin et al. (Halperin) and further in view of US Patent No. 7,042,334 Mosgrove et al. (Mosgrove).

As to claim 1, Halperin teaches a key pad assembly (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41) comprising: a top cover placed over a stack of keypad components (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41); a bottom cover placed under the stack (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41); the top cover and the bottom cover over molded around the stack to form a self contained key pad unit (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41). However, Halperin fails to teach an identification component that automatically identifies the key pad to a device that hosts the self contained key pad unit.

In an analogous art, Mosgrove teaches an identification component that automatically identifies the key pad to a device that hosts the self contained key pad unit (col. 6 line 65 – col. 7 line 3 and col. 7 line 60 – col. 8 line 7).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Halperin's system to include an identification component that automatically identifies the key pad to a device that hosts the self contained key pad unit, as taught by Mosgrove, for the advantage of activating the particular user in accordance with the user's predetermined privileges from the record (col. 7 line 60 – col. 8 line 7).

As to claim 11, Halperin teaches a method of fabricating a self contained key pad (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41) comprising: sandwiching a plurality of key pad components between a top cover and a bottom cover (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41); inserting molding around the key pad components for an

encapsulation thereof between the top cover and the bottom cover (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41). However, Halperin fails to teach an identification component that automatically identifies the key pad to a device that hosts the self contained key pad unit.

In an analogous art, Mosgrove teaches an identification component that automatically identifies the key pad to a device that hosts the self contained key pad unit (col. 6 line 65 – col. 7 line 3 and col. 7 line 60 – col. 8 line 7).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Halperin's system to include an identification component that automatically identifies the key pad to a device that hosts the self contained key pad unit, as taught by Mosgrove, for the advantage of activating the particular user in accordance with the user's predetermined privileges from the record (col. 7 line 60 – col. 8 line 7).

As to claim 16, Halperin teaches a self contained key pad (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41) comprising: a stack (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41) comprising: inherently teaches a membrane with a placed thereupon, a printed circuit board positioned beneath the membrane (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41, the keyboard assembly has to have a membrane and a printed circuit board); a top cover placed over the stack (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41); a bottom cover placed under the stack (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41), the top cover and the bottom cover define a common boundary around the stack

Art Unit: 2617

(keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41), the common boundary over molded to encapsulate the stack between the bottom cover and the top cover (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41). However, Halperin fails to teach an identification component that automatically identifies the key pad to a device that hosts the self contained key pad unit.

In an analogous art, Mosgrove teaches an identification component that automatically identifies the key pad to a device that hosts the self contained key pad unit (col. 6 line 65 – col. 7 line 3 and col. 7 line 60 – col. 8 line 7).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Halperin's system to include an identification component that automatically identifies the key pad to a device that hosts the self contained key pad unit, as taught by Mosgrove, for the advantage of activating the particular user in accordance with the user's predetermined privileges from the record (col. 7 line 60 – col. 8 line 7).

As to claim 22, Halperin teaches a self contained key pad (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41) comprising: means for encapsulating a stack of key pad components between a top and bottom cover to form a stand alone key pad unit (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41); means for connecting the stand alone key pad unit to a host device (col. 2 liens 42-65). However, Halperin fails to teach means for automatically identifying the key pad to the host device upon mounting thereon.

In an analogous art, Mosgrove means for automatically identifying the key pad to the host device upon mounting thereon (col. 6 line 65 – col. 7 line 3 and col. 7 line 60 – col. 8 line 7).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Halperin's system to include means for automatically identifying the key pad to the host device upon mounting thereon, as taught by Mosgrove, for the advantage of activating the particular user in accordance with the user's predetermined privileges from the record (col. 7 line 60 – col. 8 line 7).

As to claims 2, 12 and 21, Halperin teaches the top cover and the bottom sandwich the stack (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41).

As to claims 3, 17 and 18, Halperin teaches the top cover and the bottom cover are over molded to create a sealed common boundary (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41).

As to claims 5 and 14, Halperin teaches the flex member provides an electrical connection between the self contained key pad unit and a device that hosts the self contained key pad unit (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41).

As to claim 20, Halperin inherently teaches the bottom cover contacts the printed circuit board (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41).

Application/Control Number: 10/829,066 Page 7

Art Unit: 2617

4. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,115,616 Halperin et al. (Halperin) and US Patent No. 7,042,334 Mosgrove et al. (Mosgrove) and further in view of US Patent No. 6,950,680 Kela et al. (Kela).

Considering claim 4, Halperin inherently teaches a printed circuit board and a silicone membrane with a plurality of keys (keyboard card 16 – figure 1, col. 2 lines 35-41, the keyboard assembly has to have a membrane and a printed circuit board). However, the combined system is silent about the stack comprises an electro luminous panel, placed on top of each other.

In an analogous art, Kela teaches the stack comprises an electro luminous panel (28 - figure 3, col. 4 lines 10-33), placed on top of each other (figure 4, col. 3 line 63 – col. 4 line 9).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined system of Halperin and Mosgrove to include the stack comprises an electro luminous panel, placed on top of each other, as taught by Kela, for the advantage of assembling a keyboard.

Considering claim 10, the combined system of Halperin and Mosgrove teaches the system as described above. However, the combined system fails to teach an illumination color or a brightness on a surface of the keypad indicates a mode of the key pad.

In an analogous art, Kela teaches an illumination color or a brightness on a surface of the keypad indicates a mode of the key pad (col. 1 lines 19-35).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined system of Halperin and Mosgrove to include an illumination color or a brightness on a surface of the keypad indicates a mode of the key pad, as taught by Kela, for the advantage of notifying the user of the mode of the mobile phone.

5. Claims 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,115,616 Halperin et al. (Halperin) and US Patent No. 7,042,334 Mosgrove et al. (Mosgrove) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US Patent Application No. 2004/0110529 Watanabe et al. (Watanabe).

Considering claims 8 and 13, the combined system of Halperin and Mosgrove teaches the system as described above. However, the combined system fails to teach the bottom cover with a recess that houses a speaker therein.

In an analogous art, Watanabe teaches the bottom cover with a recess that houses a speaker therein (figure 1B and 1C, [0049]).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined system of Halperin and Mosgrove to include the bottom cover with a recess that houses a speaker therein, as taught by Watanabe, for the advantage of assembling a key pad unit.

Page 9

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable US Patent No. 6,115,616 Halperin et al. (Halperin) and US Patent No. 7,042,334 Mosgrove et al. (Mosgrove) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 5,841,857 Zoiss et al. (Zoiss).

Considering claim 7, the combined system of Halperin and Mosgrove teaches the key pad assembly of claim 1 as described above, but fails to disclose a trough.

In an analogous art, Zoiss teaches a trough (col. 4 lines 38-67, col. 5 lines 41-51 and col. 7 lines 37-47).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined system of Halperin and Mosgrove to include a trough, as taught by Zoiss, for the advantage of forming the desiccant-retaining section of the carrier (col. 4 lines 38-53).

7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,115,616 Halperin et al. (Halperin) and US Patent No. 7,042,334 Mosgrove et al. (Mosgrove) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 5,517,683 Collett et al. (Collett).

Considering claim 9, the combined system of Halperin and Mosgrove teaches the key pad assembly of claim 1, but fails to disclose the top cover and bottom cover fabricated from one of polycarbonates, thermoset plastics, and thermoformed plastic.

In an analogous art, Collett teaches the top cover and bottom cover fabricated from polycarbonates (col. 6 lines 17-32).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined system of Halperin and Mosgrove to include the top cover and bottom cover fabricated from polycarbonates, as taught by Collett, for the advantage of higher impact resistance (col. 6 lines 17-32).

8. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,115,616 Halperin et al. (Halperin), US Patent No. 7,042,334 Mosgrove et al. (Mosgrove) and US Patent No. 6,950,680 Kela et al. (Kela) as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of US Patent no. 6,785,395 Arneson et al. (Arneson).

As to claim 19, the combined system of Halperin, Mosgrove and Kela teaches the system as described above. However, the combined system fails to teach the speaker is a piezo electric speaker.

In an analogous art, Arneson teaches the speaker is a piezo electric speaker (col. 5 lines 29-46).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined system of Halperin, Mosgrove and Kela to

Art Unit: 2617

include a piezo electric speaker, as taught by Arneson, for the advantage of a high freeair resonant frequency (col. 1 lines 40-52).

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Au whose telephone number is (571) 272-2822. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent P. Harper can be reached on (571) 272-7605. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/829,066 Page 12

Art Unit: 2617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/VINCENT P. HARPER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617 /Gary Au/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617